



ASPCRO BOARD OF DIRECTORS
2016 Mid-Year
April 19, 2016
Burlington, VT

The ASPCRO Mid-year Board of Directors 2016 was held April 19, 2016 at the Hilton Burlington, in Burlington, Vermont.

Welcome and Opening Remarks

Liza Fleeson Trossbach, President, Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m., welcoming attendees to the 2016 ASPCRO Board of Directors Mid-year Meeting in Burlington, Vermont.

State lead agency representatives and industry representatives followed through with self-introductions. An attendee sign-in sheet was distributed amongst the group.

Board of Directors: Liza Fleeson Trossbach, Vice President, Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services; Linda Johns, Vice-President, Montana Department of Agriculture; Irene King, Secretary, New Mexico Department of Agriculture; Grant Bishop, Treasurer, West Virginia Department of Agriculture; Michael Weyman, At-Large Member, South Carolina Department of Pesticide Regulation; Ryan Okey, At-Large Member, South Carolina Department of Pesticide Regulation; Doug Edwards, Executive Secretary;

Attendees:

State Lead Agency Representatives:

| Name | Agency |
|----------------|--|
| Davis Daiker | Florida Department of Ag and Consumer Services |
| Kelly Friend | Florida Department of Ag and Consumer Services |
| Micah Raub | Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services |
| Jay Kelly | Indiana Office of the State Chemist |
| Russell Kohler | Mississippi Department of Agriculture |
| Bonnie Rabe | New Mexico Department of Agriculture |

| Name | Company | Name | Company |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Chris Gorecki | Rollins | Aaron Hobbs | RISE |
| Rick Bell | Arrow Exterminators | Stephanie Binns | RISE |
| Judy Fersch | BASF | Jill Holihan | FMC |
| Jeff Birk | BASF | Faye Golden | Cooks Pest |
| Jim Wright | BASF | Janet Rowley | Douglas Products |
| Kyle Jordon | BASF | Ellen Thomas | Douglas Products |
| Norman Goldberg | Terminix | Andrew Architect | NPMA |
| Jan Brill | Bayer | Dominque Stumpf | NPMA |
| | | Jim Fredricks | NPMA |

Liza addresses board and audience. Thank you to Vermont for hosting the Mid-Year meeting. Liza asked for an agenda modifications from the board. None were given. She suggested adding the following items to agenda; 1. Moving of communication committee and making it a part of the Planning Committee, 2. ASPCRO having a Facebook page (is this a good idea) 3. ASPCRO Apparel 4. Brief discussion if review of bylaws is needed. Doug suggested to add SMAC procedures.

Treasurer's Report

Grant Bishop, Treasurer, provided an overview of the budget reports including account balances, banking summary income (year to date), and summary of income and expenses.

1. Account balances as of April : Checking – current balance is \$88,700
 - a. CD – current balance is \$22,209
 - Last year was an expensive year due to the venue, which came out to a 13, 200 difference. In previous years ASPCRO was in the positive, either way we are in good shape (meeting expenses is what consumed most of the budget).
 - b. Program Services balance is high due to this being a catch all for board members travel and other miscellaneous items.
 - Liza asked why the amount for Program Services was so high.
 - Grant explained Program Services includes not only board travel but all workshops and other programs ASPCRO participates in such as Fumigation Workshop, EPA Workshop (hosted by ASPCRO

- and NPMA).
- Discussion on whether or not to separate the Program services items into different categories to better track cost – will be discussed later
- c. Work needs to be done on state membership
 - Call to states who have not paid dues should be made
- e. Bank charges approximately 3,000
 - Grant wants to review the charges and why they are so high; charges consist of services for shopping cart, virtual bank, and charges for each credit card transaction. We pay per transaction. Grant wants to look in to Quicken Books and combine some of the bank charges. Hopefully there is more economical way to handle the charges.

END OF REPORT

Planning committee – Linda Johns, Chair

Overview of agenda – currently working on agenda and a few modifications are still in progress. This year different will be a little different, meeting will start on August 22 with committee meetings and spouses tour. Conference registration start at 1:00PM and Sponsor reception

August 23 – Optional Activities, 1:00PM BOD, Evening Orkin Welcoming reception

August 24 – Kick off of the meeting, welcome and opening remarks & 60th anniversary presentation

August 25 – Pest Management Tour and Arrow Exterminators evening reception

August 26 – very much a draft and modification will be taking place, but committee is in a good place

Bonnie gave a quick overview of Santa Fe Activities and hotel information. Side events The weekend before APSCRO annual meeting the Indian Market will be taking place in Santa Fe and room rate will not be available. It is suggested to stay in Albuquerque if you decide to come up prior to meeting. Room rate is available 3 days after conference.

Optional Activities: Historical walking tour to get a flavor of what you can do the rest of the week, hiking tour near Santa Fe. Pest Management Tour – Bandelier National Monument: History of past Pest Management Problems, will be some time to give a presentation and sometime to explore on your own. Bring good walking shoes. NMDA working on getting goodie bags together. Bonnie will send link to Doug for making reservations.

Doug asked about August 21 date – on this date they honor the rate for ASPCRO

Santa Fe does have an airport and that is an option. Shuttle information will be coming out for transportation from Albuquerque to Santa Fe. Important to let association know the days are different this year, this information will be on the website.

Evaluation will be sent out after the meeting to see what folks think about the change of annual meeting days. Information for annual meeting to be sent out in the next couple of weeks. Liza discussed the good things about using RSVP books is when there is a change it is easy to send out to those who are registered. Doug has not had any problems with RSVP books. It also a great tool for getting the counts for events during the mid-year and annual meeting.

Approval of the annual 2015 Board of Directors meeting

The board received the minutes prior to meeting for review. John Dalley sent comments. John Dalley was not able to attend and John Scott was snowed in, apologizes for not mentioning before. Liza has a few grammar items that she will address later.

MOTION to accept the 2015 Board of Directors Meeting report by Mike Weyman; Second by Ryan Okey. Board unanimously accepted report.

Follow up Items

Liza wants to make sure we were on top of the following:

- Adobe connect license is up to be renewed. Doug stated the license was renewed again by Vince Craig.
- Last year it was voted on to change the name of Green Standards Committee to the Building Code Committee. This has already been changed on the website. Looking for a chair for that committee.

Executive Secretary Report

Doug Edwards, Executive Secretary, reported he filed our Annual Report and updated our Corporation Registration with the New Mexico Secretary of State's office for the calendar year 2016.

Updated the committee membership lists and posted all known committee reports on the website. If there needs to be any changes contact Doug and he can make the changes to get name correct. There is now a place on each committee page a place for all committee reports. Link will bring up a grid of all past committee reports.

Received a number of inquiries via the contact us link on the website consisting of someone wanting to re-design the site or Convention facilities wanting our business for future meetings. Also referred several inquiries related to pest control issues to the appropriate state for follow up. He also has posted all webinars from the 2015 conference on the website.

Sent out request for update of state official's listings and updated our listings per the responses received.

Meeting Assistance: Created and monitored RSVPBook.com event management site for the 2016 mid-year meeting and developed an RSVPBook event management site for the Western Regional Pesticide Meeting.

Distributed announcements as needed to ASPCRO membership including: Surveys, vacant position announcements, meeting announcements, requests for comment on regulatory issues, requests for information. Also sent out dues request and reminders for ASPCRO 2016 dues (February).

Liza thanked Doug and stated how much his hard work is appreciated.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Bedbug Report

The Bed Bug Committee (Committee) met on April 23, 2015 in conjunction with the 2016 Annual Meeting in Ft. Lauderdale FL. In attendance: Tom Wright; Jonathon Berger; Steve Sims; Dave Scott; James Sargent; John Dalley; Tim Drake; Kim Kelly-Tunis; Jay Kelly; Jim Fredericks; Ralph Morris; and Norman Goldenberg. After the welcome and introductions, the meeting began with a review of the 2015 Mid Year Meeting Report to the Board of Directors as well as a review of meeting agenda. No additional items or modifications to the midyear report or agenda were made.

The Committee received a request from a member state to develop model regulations for canine scent detection; heat treatment; ozone treatment; and cold treatment including

efficacy data. The Board requested that SLAs be polled to determine the need for model regulations and the priority order of activities for the Committee. Based upon the results of the poll, there was a need for model regulations for the aforementioned non-pesticidal treatment option. A recommendation was made to the Board, and the Board approved, drafting model regulations for the following (priority order): heat treatment; canine scent detection; ozone treatment and cold treatment. Current Status: Development of model regulations has not begun. This is the priority for the Committee. Next steps to be discussed. Additional Items

1. There is need to re-focus/clarify the “25b” discussion. It is not that “25b” products are the issue, in other words, being exempt from federal registration, it is the lack of efficacy; no efficacy; claims that are not true (i.e.: kills on contact vs. residual activity). Next steps?
2. There continues to be interest in a Regulators Forum/25b bulletin board where SLAs could share information regarding efficacy/registration issues (joint AAPCO/ASPCRO). E-Commerce continues to be a challenge in the sale of 25b products. A forum could be expanded to include other pesticide related issues and items. Current status: Preliminary discussions with AAPCO have been held. Page | 2 ASPCRO Bed Bug Committee – 2016 Mid Year Committee Report
3. There appears to be an interest in a Regulator/Industry Forum in which information could be shared, issues could be discussed, etc., perhaps an ASPCRO/NPMA effort? Would AAPCO be interested? Next steps?
4. Education is still the key and there is a need for all stakeholders to be able to recognize legitimate sources of information related to bed bugs and their management.
 - a. News releases/PSAs for use by SLAs to address misinformation or provide good sources of information.
 - b. List of resources available from SLAs and outreach is needed.
 - c. Need to reach out and coordinate efforts with a variety of organizations for example, cities, departments of health, landlord/tenant organizations.Next steps?
5. There appears to be a need to engage the building/construction industry and the need for pest control considerations during the process, for example, building design, materials. Is someone addressing this issue? If not, is there funding available for research? Where does it fit best? Building Code Committee? Bed Bug Committee? Next steps?

Moving forward the Committee will focus on those discussion items above during the 2016 Mid Year Meeting, specifically, those activities that the Committee will address during the coming year in addition to the development of model regulations. In addition, Committee Members that are willing to take the lead and/or serve on Ad Hoc Workgroups for specific items will be identified.

Structural Fumigation Report

A workshop was conducted by NMDA, ASCPRO, NPMA, NASDA and USDA WS on April 4-8th to provide an overview and training on western rodent issues. Sessions focused on management tools and procedures for Aluminum and Magnesium Phosphides, Endangered Species Consultations and urban burrow rodents. US EPA pesticide registration scientists requested the focused training at the March 2015 ASCPRO/NPMA Structural Fumigation workshop held in Atlanta. The committee met by teleconference on March 11th. The following items were discussed: committee membership participation, summary of recent committee activities, NPMA Fumigation Stewardship Strategy, current committee purpose and future activities. Jim Fredericks briefly discussed the recent stakeholder survey including a note that NPMA plans to hold a Fumigation Stewardship Summit in September 2016. Dale Dubberly discussed the recent EPA OIG visit to Florida and a new Florida fumigation law that will be effective July 1st and require rulemaking to be completed within 180 days. Lastly, the committee developed an agenda for the April 18th meeting.

On March 9th, Derrick Lastinger, Liza Fleeson and John Scott met with US EPA pesticide managers and discussed ASCPRO activities, the US EPA proposed C&T rule including the non-soil fumigation certification category, current fumigant stewardship efforts and noted that EPA is looking to see what comes out of NPMA's stewardship strategy. EPA discussed activities involving OECA, OPP and EPA OIG's pending evaluation from the program audit section. EPA OPP is sending two employees on detail from EPA Region 2 office to work with PR & USVI on their certification and training programs for 6 months. EPA provided an update on the status of the re-evaluation of the fumigants and requested that ASCPRO assist with some additional hands-on training for the review managers on structural, commodity, container, chamber and port-related fumigations. Risk assessments are expected to come out in 2018.

The committee plans to have a meeting on April 18th at 9:00 a.m. and will discuss the following items: 1. Committee membership, 2. Mission/purpose statement: committee purpose and future needs for providing resources to EPA for the re-evaluation of the non-soil fumigants (trainings, surveys, meetings, etc.) 3. Coordinate & collaborate ASCPRO efforts 4. Review the NPMA survey and NPMA initial committee findings 5. Summary of the Western Rodent Workshop 6. SLA survey. A fumigation session is planned for the annual conference in Santa Fe, NM.

Label Stewardship Committee

The committee was not active since the 2015 conference other than the session on Golden Malrin misuse held during the conference, see details below. While some individual discussions did occur, most issues have not recently been addressed. The committee has no assigned actions from the Board currently but will continue to pursue discussion in the areas identified below.

Micah Raub has accepted the position of co-chair of the committee. Bonnie Rabe will assist as needed while recruiting a person for the second co-chair position continues. The following items were discussed at the committee meeting held prior to the mid-year

meeting and reported during the mid-year board meeting:

Burrowing Rodent Language on Fumigant Labels: NMDA hosted a Western Pest Management Tour April 4-8, 2016 in Albuquerque, NM. The meeting included representation by New Mexico, Colorado, Montana, and Wyoming pesticide programs, USDA Wildlife Services in NM, CO, and headquarters, ASPCRO, National Pest Management Association, and New Mexico Cooperative Extension. EPA sent seven representatives from headquarters including those involved in the product registration, registration review, risk assessment and incident reporting processes.

Presentations during the meeting included discussion of the label language for burrowing rodent use and the confusion caused for applicators as well as regulators in determining the requirements and verifying compliance. With support from EPA, it was decided during the training to work towards a solution which would clarify proper use and the ways that could happen. The group at the meeting will begin with a label review and suggestions on how to make it clearer and more consistent in relation to the use for burrowing rodent control. The resulting work will then be passed on for further review by this committee and the structural fumigation committee. Registrants will be involved in the process.

Termiticide Label Template Discussion: The chairs will be contacting EPA to determine the continued viability of this effort at this time, before further work will continue. If confirmed this will be helpful and accepted considering the agencies move toward SmartLabel and label matching technology, the committee will further advance this project.

Label Matching Application: During the committee meeting, Jay Kelly and I provided an update on the label matching project and new opportunities this will provide for better review of labels found in the marketplace, during use inspections or submitted for review during state registration and renewal. This application will ultimately allow inspectors to take a photo of a label and the program will provide registration information and do a label comparison to the accepted label. This technology is being developed and supported by EPA. It will necessitate the agency ultimately collecting market labels rather than just the master label. In the interim, the program will use state level label database information. It was suggested a demonstration be included on the agenda at the 2016 ASPCRO conference.

EPA Pollinator Protection Policy Comments: The committee will stand ready to review, evaluate and/or work on any label language resulting from the final EPA Pollinator Protection Policy for which EPA is currently evaluating public comments made to the docket.

Stewardship for Misuse of Insecticides for Illegal Wildlife Control: The committee discussed the outcome of a session held during the 2015 annual conference on issues related to the misuse of Golden Malrin fly bait on nuisance wildlife. Suggestions during the session included contacting some of the national companies to ask for their assistance – Tractor Supply, Coke/Pepsi; commenting on blogs with a statement from ASPCRO; working with USDA Wildlife Services, US Fish and Wildlife and state wildlife agencies; the US Humane Society, County Cooperative Extension and others who would support the effort. These suggestions were further deliberated during the committee meeting and it was agreed to pursue those as well as additionally contact the registrant and EPA to check status of the registration agreement requirements.

Pest Management in Schools

ASPCRO Position Paper on Legislation Requiring Schools to Use IPM adopted during 2013 Annual meeting in Atlanta. ASPCRO Resolutions on SIPM adopted during 2013 Annual Meeting in Atlanta. ASPCRO-EPA SIPM Sustainability Workshop in Apopka, FL on September 10 – 12, 2013. Resulted from opportunity to train EPA Regional SIPM Coordinators. Also known as the “Partnership Meeting” – demonstrated ASPCRO model of working partnerships in SIPM adopted by many states. Included Extension (implementers), SLAs, School Facilities Managers/Staff, PMPs, EPA Regional IPM Coordinators
Covered review of basic IPM in schools; scenario based IPM exercises using PMU facilities; presentations and discussions on how to implement sustainable IPM programs in schools
Attempting to set up a meeting with Bob McNally, Director of BPPD to discuss collaborations with EPA, NPMA and ASPCRO on PCO training initiatives
Issues Still Pending: 1. Based on surveys that indicate 70% to 90% of schools in the nation outsource pest management to licensed companies. The committee has drafted IPM guidelines for PMP. The document is being reviewed by EPA. 2. On March 18, 2016 EPA provided the following feedback: We’ve reviewed the existing guidance along with the document Mike Page drafted. We find Chapter 3 of the 2012 Integrated Pest Management for North Carolina Schools and Child Care Facilities contains the required elements of a School IPM bid/contract guide. Initially developed in Texas and adapted by North Carolina, this document has been reviewed by several members of your School IPM Committee at different points in time. In addition, many are complimentary of the document. We have taken the liberty of making some edits to the document, primarily adding an introduction and removing North Carolina-centric references as well as some parts that are outside of the scope. We plan to secure the author’s permission to excerpt most of Chapter 3 and recast it as a national guidance document. Our School IPM Roundtable is in late-May 2016 leaving us about 8 weeks in which to accomplish this undertaking. We would be pleased if ASPCRO would join us in the endeavor, but understand completely if this is a course you do not want to join with us to pursue. We appreciate and value our efforts to date and look forward to future collaborations to increase school IPM adoption. On March 18, 2016 Liza Fleeson responded, the following to EPA: I have sent your email with the attachment to the Board for their review and have requested comments be returned to me. Deadline May 6, 2016 to get comments to EPA.

Other Discussion about report. School IPM roundtable discussion, APSCRO does not have a seat. Liza will ask EPA if we have a seat.

MIKE stated his BIRTHDAY MAY 6!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Rodenticide

Approval for the RMD updated information and Linda asks for approval from board to post online. Hold and vote on tomorrow to look at it tomorrow.

The committee met during the 2015 Annual meeting in Fort Lauderdale, Florida to review and update the Rodenticide Risk Mitigation Decision (RMD) Compliance Fact Sheet (see attachment). All relevant comments and edits were applied to the RMD Fact sheet and a Motion for approval was requested by the committee to the Board of Directors (BOD). The BOD asked for more time to review the RMD before making the final approval. Currently, the committee is waiting for the BOD to accept the RMD revisions before publishing onto the ASPCRO website.

Action Item: Does the committee need to create sub-standards for electronic monitoring devices that the Trap Smart Company produces? There were concerns from the regulatory officials that they are not comfortable with the process of electronics. Are there standard for false positive/negative readings?

State Meeting Assistance Committee

Official report was not submitted. Discussion at meeting about the committee is as stated below.

Arizona will be using the SMAC to help for registration for Western Regional Pesticide Meeting. Grant stated they have almost collected 1950 from that meeting. This may be to pay for room rental. Only group this year that has used SMAC. Grant reported last year SMAC brought in 1950.00.

Jay Kelly presented idea to have the board to be part of SMAC approval process, instead of being a committee. Would like to see a application online to apply for the SMAC program. Once application is submitted, all members tasked with approving would receive email. Doug Edwards can develop a webpage to have the SMAC process streamlined. Person with the conference utilizing SMAC will have a log in and they take care of all information for their conference.

This committee will now be dissolved and an approval group will be created.

Discussion:

Don't want to end up in the red..... the guidelines were created a few years back.

Good idea to put on the web, identify who will be on the approval process, and put out the benefit and services out to the public.

Grant stated he thought we have all the required documents. How are we going to introduce on website. Maybe put it on the first page as new business bullet.

Liza proes we moved forward and Grant, Jay, and Doug present info at annual meeting to be sent out after. Doug to do mockup of registration. He also used Adobe connect to run webinar with state utilizing SMAC.

Liza make a motion to make SMA to the Meeting Assistance services and at annual meeting to show at annual meeting how this will work.

Structural Remediation Committee

Activities 2015 Annual Conference Ft. Lauderdale, Florida,. A SRC session was held an annual conference. During the meeting the committee discussed the Remediation guidance document. In November 2015, Derrick Lastinger, Program Director for the Structural Pest Control Section of the Georgia Department of Agriculture stepped down as chair of the Structural Remediation Committee. Thanks to him for his long service and best wishes on his future roles. Ms. Irene King, Compliance Specialist, Sr. with the New Mexico Department of Agriculture, Pesticide Compliance Section and Mr. Clint Shettle, Enforcement & Field Operations Supervisor with the Virginia Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services, Office of Pesticide Services have taken on the role as co-chairs of the Structural Remediation Committee.

January 2016, SRC submitted comments in reference to the NPIC Fact Sheet draft. The committee was asked to provide feedback on a guidance document "Cleaning up after Indoor Pesticide Misuse". This document was created by NPIC intended for the Public to assist in the cleanup of pesticide misuse indoors. NPIC has not yet released the document to the public.

SRC held a conference call February 23 to discuss the creation of SRC's guidance document. During the meeting it was decided to divide the document into sections. Guidance will have 6 main sections: Purpose and Background, Notification & Contacts, Determining Action, Pesticide Information, Remediation, and Roadmap. Moving forward SRC plans to meet via conference call in early May to review the first 2 sections of the guidance document. Our goal is to have a good or close to final draft to be presented at the 2016 annual meeting in Santa Fe.

Termiticide Label Committee

Quiet the last 6 months. Committee met in Ft. Lauderdale. They met with 2 different registrants about revisions to existing labels, products with active ingredients that have been registered for a many years. There are significant label revisions being proposed or more in depth testing. Committee discussed with registrants the data set and/or design to gather information. No formal documents were created, there was just general discussion and information exchange.

Dr. Bernard Lewis could be leaving at any time and John Dally is retiring, therefore leaving a open spot on the committee. One person is already interested from the states. Davis will be contacting Liza about getting new member for the committee. There has currently been one inquiry about the open member spot, from a state person.

Termiticide Standard Committee

No report – committee will reconvene in Santa Fe.

Joint ASCPCRO/AAPCO Board Meeting Report

Liza reported on the AAPCO/ASCPCRO lunch meeting at the annual AAPCO meeting. During the meeting one item discussed was the many changes in EPA and how many people have moved positions within EPA. At times new people may not be as familiar with working committees, ASCPCRO or SFIREG.

We will work with AAPCO to reach out and introduce ourselves to EPA. So we can become familiar with those who are in new positions at EPA and they can get to know the associations and what we do.

Dennis Howard (AAPCO president), Cary and Liza floated idea to EPA. EPA took to this well and they are interested in creating a meeting after the next full SPFRIGE meeting.

May dove tail back to the training issues and people who have never worked out in the field.

Due to the proximity of VA, WV, MD able to host training for a day to help with new people at EPA or individual that need field exposure. Many of the changes of personal have been challenging in bring them up to speed about current issues.

The lunch meeting will be held again next year and hopes more board members can make it.

National Pest Management Association (NPMA) Update

Bob Rosenberg officially retired March 15. Dominique Stumpf recently accepted Bob's old position. She has been with NPMA for 19 years prior to accepting new position.

Dominique spoke, stating she was excited about opportunity and what's being done here (ASCPCRO meeting) is important. Thanked ASCPCRO for all they do.

Andy continued reporting about Legislature day. Liza was on panel with Jack Housinger and Jim to talk about future regulation of the industry.

This year was a success, with the main topics discussed Zika and NPDES permits.

Currently NMPA is working on 3 main focus area:

1. **Fumigation**: Jeff from Florida at the legislative days ; this came away from the 2 days with 50 action items. The next legislative days hoping to make a living document.
2. Zika amazing how little information the legislatures have and NPMA spent a lot of time educating others on the hill about Zika.

EPA contacted NPMA about certified applicators who do mosquito applications and they were worried. There's a survey NPMA has created and gathering information for EPA. Webinar on May 4 – 650 people signed up...idea to bring in CDC folks and do PCO business models.

3. State legislative season winding down; most seen issue is banning neonics and rodenticides. California had a bill to ban all anticoagulants. Educating legislature on anticoagulants and the implications of taking away rodenticides.

Other regulated issues: wanted to get rid of arbitration in Tennessee. NJ also have issued a bill about arbitration. Outreach to EPA; Fumigation workshop last year was great. Now those people may not be the same. Reminded all the benefit of having a good working relationship with state and industry.

RISE Erin Hobbs

Preemption of local laws and regulations: Authority of the state government is under attack and being challenged. Greater desire not just in the pesticide industry but all over for greater government regulations.

They goes out on an annual bases and test to focus groups. 1. Candidates large anti-government sentiment in our government right now. Bernie and Trump are anti-government so that leads us to believe large population is not please with government. Currently working on gathering data on what the population thinks about government regulation. Wanted to get a feel from the survey of where people stand on the regulation process. Initial understanding from survey. Changing value on community, meaning caring more of what do others in community feel or think, desire to be seen positively. Important when talking about presumption. Who should be the regulating body for pesticides? Over the past year have seen very creative steps by states to take back regulating body to local government.

Pollinators: Continues to be a problem. Doing a lot of legislation and continue to be in the talks about pollinators. Conversation needs to stay board but, remains a deeply challenging topic.

Changes at EPA as a trade association they have talked about the gap in knowledge. The overreaction of lobby laws has made it difficult in building and keeping relations.

They has questioned how to get to the EPA individuals who are in the trenches in registration product reviewers, product manager, and more to a Spring Regulatory Conference.

Jennifer Binns in conjunction with their Regulatory Affairs Committee hosted a meeting about Product Life Style. During the meeting Pest were covered, stewardship, efficacy testing, product life cycle, pesticide safety education, 7 sections, 8 minutes rotations and Road map created about product life cycle. Great feedback from the industry.

Exciting about the endangered species act, colleges with Wildlife services were the most active. This meeting was a valuable exchange.

Mosquitos: work closely with NPMA, opportunity to go to white house to go talk about mosquitos. Answering question from EPA, CDC, and all others who may have questions. When going into White House someone told him I know all about mosquitoes. Spent some time explaining mosquitoes. Large role will be how to use mosquito control programs already in place. Starting to talk about what are our needs. EPA is already working with registrants. Erin thinks it vital to keep the products we have now registered and available.

End of Report

Liza thanked NPMA and RISE for the updates.

Zika

Liza used presidential authority to discuss Zika today rather than as scheduled tomorrow.

How much people do not understand: lack of understanding who can be certified.
Is there something ASPCRO needs to be doing and address holistically.. Liza opens up the floor to members to voice what ASPCRO needs to start addressing the Zika issue.

Davis from Florida spoke about how they engaged there Mosquito district about the travel related Zika. He has engaged mosquito districts 2 to 50 now have Zika (travel realated). Districts vary greatly budget wise Encourages ASPCRO to engage the importance of mosquito control and encourage release of resources to address Zika.

Kelly Friend the big thing is how we can focus the fund to mosquito control. Somehow if ASPCRO can help make this known that funding should be directed to some mosquito control. Also monitoring is important.

Jim Wright stated most people know now the Ebloa money has been shifted and they money is available for Zika.

Davis stated workshop in North Carolina for applicators. Most companies see this as an opportunity. About 54 people to attend and certification.

Road tour geared toward the districts and city officials, to give them an opportunity, Jim Fredricks the mosquito we are dealing with now are different compared to West Nile. Structural pest control is unique. The idea is there is a group of people already doing this work. NPMA focus on the education, biology of mosquito and know the difference in treating this mosquito compared to the others. Webinar is going to address how to talk to people about Zika, good control. BMP's are not the way to go. This is kind of a mature thing PCO's are doing, and focus being on education from state regulators can help with certification questions.

Davis hopes the PCO's are not looking to Zika as a profit making situation.

Jim Wright industry is the key component, because they are trained and business cannot embrace the same model as the government, models will be different. He doesn't want to see the government telling industry how to perform application.

Davis in Florida they have seen registrants ask about fast tracking registrations.

Erin Hobbs: rise wants to know if there is something that needs to be pushed through and if the White House can help he wants to know.

Liza asked about state lead agencies. Liza asked Derrick if there is sometime in the past has the ASPCRO asked states what certifications are required for particular in CPARD can they answer what is required for certain certifications. Liza will look into that. Funding as far as ASPCRO goes is encourage EPA.

Andrew suggested setting up a Zika task force to get a flavor for what is working and create a survey of questions needed. Also think about top 10 things you have learned.

Derrick agreed some kind of workgroup is a good idea. Also brought up the issue of PCO's doing pest control and get into the mosquito control. How to address this topic.

Jim Fredricks stated this is why the focus is on education and hope to pass along information.

Liza determined a Zika work group will be created and will ask for volunteers.
Davis stated he saw 2 mosquito control contractor in the Delta Airlines magazine.

Liza: Andy, Kelly, Erin, Tim Drake to be on Zika workgroup. A conference call to establish where the focus of the group may. There will be a session on Zika at the annual meeting.

Reminders:

2 at large members will be coming up to be filled
Board will look at school IPM contract tomorrow.

Close of day 1.

Day 2

Thank you to Norman, Chris, and Rick to the contribution to the dinner and Linda for setting up dinner.

Liza mentioned: board decided to give John Dalley a plaque to thank him for his service.

School IPM Model Contract Guidance.

EPA would like to know what are thoughts are on the contract. Linda nothing has jumped out this go around. Original document had a lot of legal statements and contract language taken out. EPA would like for us to endorse the contract. Linda stated nothing has jumped out at her at this time. Liza stated her concerns about the contract language have been addressed.

Board agreed with this draft of the Model contract. Ryan suggested to send out to both committee and board to review one final time. There will be a 10 day deadline in order to get it out to EPA on time.

MP3 Symposium Meeting NASDA & EPA hosted a Managed Pollinator Protection Symposium – Liza gave overview. Very well attended. Symposium focused on pollinator plans and tribes also gave there perspectives. Liza mentioned the non ag issues at the symposium.

Grant asked if there were notes or meeting minutes. Liza will follow up to and ask Dudley. Surprised being limited to managed pollinators but most states.

Derrick asked if there was any discussion on urban structural state plans. Liza stated the main focus was agricultural related. A lot of the plans are in development and also tribes are having issues creating plan. It was discussed at symposium tribes working with states that

Jim Fredrick said on question that came from the meeting was how to evaluate the MP3 are working.

Bonnie said one size does not fit all when evaluating. Fine tuning what works for each state. Important part of what POM was looking at as evaluating a MP3. Currently on APPCO working group on pollinators are going to update information for MP3. Meant to be living documents to be updated and reevaluated.

Liza said it was its important to look at the success of the plan. In Virginia there main focus is communication and this is what they will be focusing on and that is what they will be evaluating.

Question to Bonnie about EPA evaluation of MP3s. Bonnie does believe EPA is looking for something on how to evaluate plans. EPA is focusing a lot on policy.

If plans are not successful is it a possibility there could be more label restrictions.

Davis stated in Florida they are crop specific. They are currently working on Blueberries Hard to measure behavioral changes. Apiary inspectors are looking to identify hobbyist and will be located on a GPS mapping tool.

Liza asked Derrick about Georgia's plan. He stated there has not been discussion yet. Has issue calling it a plan because it more of a resource document.

Liza stated it takes a long time to reach all stakeholders.

Davis is the most logical tool but it really depends on the individuals you get to participate. Just like bee likes is also the best evaluation tool. Liza mention it would be interesting to hear how other states created there plans and if they included the non-ag application. In VA most beekeepers are hobbyist and it's important to include those individuals. Grant stated in his state they met with vegetative managers and if right away are managed properly could increase the habitat.

Termiticide Efficacy testing

Santa Rita Experimental range testing site will no longer be available for use. Testing going on right now, not possible to continue. There are talks about maybe using 3 years work of data instead of 5 years. Survey of the southwest areas and was it necessary to keep a testing area. The consensus is yes, due to conditions being so different it is necessary to keep a testing center.

Bonnie asked if there is any set location they are looking at for the experimental station. Liza has not received any updated information on new locations.

Western Pest Management Tour

Bonnie Rabe (NM) provided an overview of the Western Pest Management Tour. NM hosted about 25 people including; 7 EPA people, 4 western state leas agencies, USDA Wildlife services, NMPA, and NM extension specialists. Main focus was on fumigates. Bonnie had discussion with Rick about the lack of information they have about burrowing rodents. They know in the west fumigates are a vital tool but not the only one. The training also showed what urban area look like in the western states, because it is very different from what is seen in and around the DC area. The label language can be very different for the western states in terms of residential, structural, buildings, etc.... If EPA is looking to use change or use different terminology, we wanted to give them prospective on the impact this will have on the western states. The western states are the areas in which the products are used the most. Language should fit what we need it for not for what EPA assumes is going on in a standard area. In NM there are several ranches with multiple homes that get prairie dogs. One of the things EPA walked away with was the disease issue that can come from burrowing rodents in the west, such as plague and Haunta virus. Not a lot of

classroom time, training was provided at multiple locations. One location was the Sun Port Airport, which battles with prairie dogs. They had stopped using control and the prairie dogs got so bad they were days from being shut down. This was vital for EPA to see the impact of using the product in airports which is not common in all areas of the US. A lot of hands on activities. NM Wildlife specialist brought tools used in livestock predation and was a great activity for attendees to see the tools used. There was a lot of talk about burrowing rodent specific label or an identifiable section on each label. The Structural Fumigation Committee and Label Review committee will be helping in the review of the language. The goal is to bring consistency to labels. A trip to a park showed participants why the product would be used in a park and the implications of not using product in the park. Children were falling and hurting themselves. Bonnie said thank you to ASPCRO for paying for things states cannot. It made a difference in the after hour activities.

Linda added these types of trainings are have a huge impact on EPA and great for the risk management assessments. Keeping tools in the toolbox is vital and showing EPA the effects changes to the products may have on the users of the products in the western states.

Liza said thank you to Bonnie for putting on the training.

Spring 2017 for the Structural Fumigation.

Updating the committee Webpages

All committee chairs please go to webpage and review information for your committee. Make sure all information is up to date.

Liza asked what is the process for approving items to be on ASPCRO webpage? Currently there is not a formal process. Doug thinks there should be a process of all material, in order to avoid irrelevant information that does not fit with the association. Grant suggested sending to board and with deadline for approval. Linda agrees there should be a deadline given when information is given to board for review.

This is an effort to keep information control and updated, for the individuals who utilize the information on the site. There has definitely improved over the years.

Process now will be to send information you are requesting be placed on the website to the ASPCRO board and cc Doug.

Is there anything else we are asking for to update the website? Doug said up to this point we have everything for the webpage. Talked about sending out information to association about the recorded sessions from annual meetings.

Facebook

Do we need a ASPCRO Facebook, is this a good idea for this association?

Linda uses Facebook for in her state. They have had great feedback.

Liza asked how it is used. In Montana Facebook is used for dispersal of information pertaining to trainings and other information.

Irene stated in New Mexico marketing department control the Facebook and posts items pertaining to the agency.

Page should not have a dialogue back and forth.
Mike stated if we have enough of information to keep Facebook going.
Just have information on Facebook to let people know
Derrick thinks it's a good way to communicate not matter the age. Good way to keep people up to date on information.
Micah stated Facebook is a way to get out pieces of information.
Facebook would not be updated at daily but would be able to should trainings, and other projects ASPCRO activities.
Doug would need to get familiar with all the properties to control information on Facebook.
Discussion from NMPA to be cautious of how the content will be managed. It may take more time than expected.

Liza asked if Doug is ok with setting this up. Doug and Micah will explore the idea for a Facebook. Evaluate after the conference and see how the dispersal of information was taken.
Annual meeting discussion was had on how relevant or activity some committees may be. Communication and webinar committee would it be better to have them disbanded and have one person added to the Planning Committee. All board members agreed this is a good idea.
Right now Vince and Derrick is the co-chair, Liza will contact them both. Originally the committee was merged with membership committee and formally known as IT Committee.

MOTION by Liza to merge Communication Committee into the Planning Committee; Second by Mike Weyman. Board unanimously accepted.

Bonnie stated NM will have their own IT people to help assist with AV needs. This may be something to consider when considering a location for annual meetings.

Apparel

24 shirts only about 4 men's shirt. Should we consider keeping the t-shirts available?
Irene brought up the idea to place the shirt into the registration process. Something to consider.
Derrick supported the idea of placing option to purchase shirt with registration.
Liza will talk with Grant will look at different options.
Doug stated adding the shirt to RSVP books would not be a problem.

By-laws

Liza said it may be work while to review to see if there needs to be any changes. Board members need to look at it in its totality. It's a value to take a look that they're update to date.

Rodenticide

Changes to document was adding the species and changes from the marketplace. Tables and everything else is the same.

MOTION to accept Rodenticide document by Mike Weyman. Second by Ryan Okey. Board unanimously accepted minutes.

Structure of working committees

Changing the structure of working committee versus committees that do not have a lot going on. This is something for board to think about, what is the best way to handle this. Liza stated POM set up may be a good set up for ASPCRO committees. Time to reconsider and improve the process.

Mike suggested to pose the question to all committee chairs and get their feedback.

Doug asked how information would be displayed on the website if information is coming from smaller working groups.

Mike likes the idea of keeping the chair. Goal is trying to keep people more involved.

Also helps with stepping down from being a chair. Nice to find some kind of happy media.

Liza stated between now and annual meeting an evaluation of the committee members about the thought of changing the process and structure.

Open to Floor

No comments.

Liza thanks all for being at meeting.

Meeting Adjourned

[Submitted 2016 by Irene King , Secretary]