



**ASPCRO BOARD OF DIRECTORS
2014 ANNUAL BOARD MEETING AGENDA
SUNDAY, 1:00 PM, Russell Lewis Room
Doubletree Hotel, Missoula, MT**

Meeting at a Glance:

Motions/Action Items

- BOD needs to develop a permissions policy or convention for meeting speakers and panel discussions in broadcasting and recording future sessions. John Scott to draft a policy.
- BOD will proceed with posting the pollinator guidance document.
- BOD/Rodenticide Committee needs to draft a letter relating to ASPCROs position on label language changes for rodenticide products.
- BOD will draft a letter to Lois Rossi thanking her for her many contributions and wishing her well in retirement.

Welcome and Opening Remarks – John Scott (CO), President

Self Introductions – Bonnie Rabe; Davis Daiker; Bob Kunst; Bob Rosenberg; Steve Dwinell; Jim Haron; Jim Wright; Amy Dugger; Linda Johns; Vernard Lewis; John Dalley; Vince Craig; Doug Edwards; Tim Drake; Russell Collier; Tony Cofer; Joe Debrow; Joe Holiday; Gene Harrington; Andy Architect

Review of Agenda and Modifications

Approval of 2014 ASPCRO Mid-Year Meeting Minutes – John Scott (CO), President

Motion was made to accept the midyear meeting minutes by Liza Fleeson and seconded by Derrick Lastinger. The motion carried unanimously.

Treasurer's Report - Grant Bishop (WV), Treasurer

Grant Bishop submitted two e treasurer reports for the Boards review: *Account Balances (August 20, 2014)* and *Banking Summary (August 20, 2014)* (electronic report) for consideration. Financially it's been a good year. The bank summary report stated a balance of \$86,450.52, but this does not include other expenses. Annual meeting expenses were not accounted for in the report submitted. Income and meeting subsidies have been up (thanks to sponsors!). A more realistic estimate of the account indicates a balance of \$56,583. The association's CD is worth \$22,158, but with other balances the total account is just under 100,000 in the checking account. Meeting registrations were up to 140 (including speaker registrations). Memberships were up to 41 members which is an increase over past years.

Question: has the Board considered investing/managing the associations account using a brokerage firm? Investing the association's savings may yield further gains. There would not be any problems with our current

standing as a 501-c3 corporation. The Board will appoint a committee to provide guidance as to whether this is something we may wish to consider further. Grant was asked to look into this subsequent to settling expenses for this meeting.

Approval of Treasurer's Reports

Motion was made to accept the Treasurer's Reports by Mike Page and seconded by Jay Kelley. The motion carried unanimously.

Treasurer's report will be posted on the ASPCRO website.

Executive Secretary Report – Doug Edwards (VA)

Doug recently replaced Lonnie Matthews as Executive Secretary. The Board was hoping to have the position take a more active role in helping with registration at meetings, take on webinar functions during the meetings and be more active with website communications. Doug possessed the background and skills necessary to take on those new position responsibilities. Doug is currently training to take over the website and directory and participating in planning committee meetings.

Corporate registration is up to date. Corporation papers filed as a NM corporation and Bonnie Rabe is the contact person.

Website activity: there has been a limited amount of activity on ASPCRO's website: primarily requests for information. Maintaining better communications with web inquiries will help to increase web presence so that we will increase our search engine presence.

Planning Committee Report – Liza Fleeson (VA) Chair & Vice President, and Linda Johns (MT), Host

Liza thanked Linda Johns, our host, for a great planning year with an excellent program.

Overview of the Meeting Agenda:

Sunday:

Board meeting and Orkin Reception this evening

Monday:

Hazard Communication Standards and Global harmonization of labels

Risk Communication was cancelled and replaced by the Business meeting

Terminix Luncheon

What is Threshold for Action? When do states, federal agencies and companies take corrective action to mitigate non-compliance related issues?

Concurrent committee meetings; (a first for the meeting!): Four of our most active committees will be inviting members to participate in the meeting. The four committees are the Structural Remediation Committee, Pest Management in Schools (SIPM) Committee, Label Language Committee; and Communication's Committee.

These are actual working sessions in which the committee is soliciting input from a broader audience – ASPCRO members!

Tuesday:

Pollinator Protection with an update on current science, EPA perspective and ASPCRO activities

School IPM Session: provides a review of state activities related to implementation and support for IPM programs in schools nationwide.

Wildlife Pest Management Issues (western state issues) which is a precursor to our afternoon pest tour at FT.

Missoula

Evening outing is the Arrow Exterminating Kettlehouse brewery tour

Wednesday:

Future of PM session (a symposium): will hear perspectives from Industry, states, Federal on the direction of the future.

Webinar Update (John Scott): Information related to our sessions was disseminated prior to the meeting. The sessions have been broken up into 3 different days. We have the capacity for up to 100 people on webinar. Some problems were noted between connectivity using a hard line vs. a wireless connection (wireless connectivity may be intermittent). Our skill with the technology continues to improve. We are now recording each session (given permissions by speakers) and posting the sessions to our website.

Use of the Adobe Connect is now in its 3rd year (since Seattle) and the number of people joining has increased every year.

We will be sending our usual meeting evaluation for comments via survey monkey and, as always, appreciate member comments

Standing Committee Reports

Bed Bug Committee (BBC) – Liza Fleeson (VA), Chair

Overview of activities: The committee met yesterday afternoon (no meeting at midyear last year) and focused on min risk pesticides “25(b)” issues; primarily, lack of product efficacy and the needed data requirements which would indicate product efficacy. EPA approached the BBC to recommend possible solutions to these issues. In addition, outreach to states indicated that the BBC should continue to keep pressure on the Agency and let them know that this is an important issue and they should pay attention to problems with some specific products. The Committee also discovered other efforts to address 25(b) issues using a compliance assistance effort that will hopefully not to duplicate the BBCs efforts. Lastly, EPA asked the BBC to review and comment their revisions to information on their website.

The Committee also discussed doing a follow up survey (“a phase 2 survey”) which will focus on product misuse and efficacy. BB issues have lost some of the limelight, (pollinators have taken over!), but are still an important consumer issue facing all states. The 2 hour meeting held yesterday focused on areas needing follow up, especially on the status of Scientific Advisory Panel (SAP) established to address efficacy and the Agency’s compliance assistance effort (through OECA). Given the number of issues involving 25(b)s and the importance they carry for states and consumers; such as product efficacy, pesticidal claims, product adulteration (spiked with a pesticide to enhance efficacy) and other misuse issues, the minimum risk rule (promulgated prior to PRIA) and the possibility of this going through the PRIA process, and the possibility of an “environmental justice” consideration (public housing), the need for additional information from the Agency has become a priority for the Committee. States may want to actually perform product formulation testing to ascertain the actual contents of products.

The Committed is also addressing the possibility of creating an information source that can share accurate product information with other states. A “bulletin board” or “open forum” would provide an opportunity to share concerns and counter misinformation often seen in product advertising - the key motivating factor is education! This may be possible as a joint effort with ASPCRO and AAPCO via respective websites. Another idea specific to the Committee’s *education push*, is to create a PSA template (news announcements) for states to use in hopes of getting information to interested people, share resources and expertise, and counteract misinformation by creating a template to assist state in getting accurate info out to public.

The Committee also addressed K9 sent detection issues (and heat, cold, ozone treatments). The Committee is now considering the possibility of developing model regulations on this and other BB treatment issues. Model regulations would be specific to K9 sent detection, but could include other issues noted. NPMA is currently working on this too and there may be an effort to partner with them on this project. The BBC will come back to Board with recommendations on developing model regulations to see if interest in this project.

Motion to accept the BBC report was made by Grant Bishop, and seconded by Mike Weyman. The motion carried unanimously.

Communications Committee –Derrick Lastinger (GA), Vince Craig (AZ)

This committee was recently combined (last March) with the webinar committee. Vince Craig is the cochair of the new committee. Our new website was launched just before the midyear meeting. Updates to the site have been slow going however. In March at AAPCO, the Committee Chair Lastinger met with Vicki Cassins to learn about website issues and how to use filezilla to upload documents and other information. Vicki is also putting together a documentation protocol, as a help aid, for the maintenance of documents.

During the Committee meeting yesterday, we discussed ASPCRO listservers, still functional but no longer have access (Purdue has limited access to only Purdue employees). We are thinking of moving the listserv. Doug will be researching options through Network Solutions (network provider). We've renewed our web address, ASPCRO.org for another 5 years. Another meeting is planned with Vicki and Doug during next SFIREG meeting to transition ownership to ASPCRO since we no longer have access to Purdue's system. There is a consideration to move the listserv to a location where we have control and to find a suitable website provider that has an easy to use webtool with a shallow learning curve. ASPCRO will incur the cost of a license for renewal at \$518.

Conference registration is still currently hosted by Purdue. We do not have access to live registration information. So, we put together a workgroup to research other options that would provide more control of our conference registrations (for easier access) and that may be less expensive.

Committee chairs are also reminded to update their webpages with pertinent information related to their work. Grant has sent out an announcement with our webinar link.

Webinar issues were discussed by Vince. We recently reduced the number of Adobe connect licenses to 2. Also, it is important to note that the license has the capability to allow up to 2 board members simultaneously of up to 100 people each meeting. There is another benefit in that any meeting held using Adobe can be recorded, and in doing so provides an accurate set of meeting minutes/notes on the business discusses. In addition, committee members that could not attend may listen to entire meeting, to stay abreast of issues that were discussed. The software can even record PowerPoint presentation if one was given. At this point, this is an untapped benefit and thus far we've only had 2 requests to do this. BOD should consider this renewed license for \$850.

Also, BOD needs to consider consent agreements. We really need to pay attention to these issues especially when broadcasting or recording law enforcement personnel or other government personnel. This issue is complicated if there is a panel discussion and only one person doesn't want to be recorded. The Board needs to reconsider its rules on panel discussions: specifically, the Board needs to consider rules for panelists that do not provide permission to be recorded during a session. For example, in the event a particular panelist(s), refuses to allow us to record them, it would be logistically impossible for us to stop and restart recording at the moment they are speaking or responding to another panelist or someone from the audience. There would not be much value in a discontinuous recording of a session where a portion of panelist comments were deleted. The Board should consider adopting a policy/convention about panel discussions for future meetings.

The committee has also considered purchasing a sound board which will make it easier for broadcasting sessions.

Discussion summary:

The Board considered preliminary ideas related to the development of a policy for permission to broadcast and record speakers sessions/discussions. Consideration will need to include options to opt out of being broadcast or recorded for groups like law enforcement, Registrant proprietary information, and cutting edge research. Such a policy, once created, should be provided to speakers/panelists in advance of their acceptance to speak at our meetings. In doing so we provide ample time for session organizers to find

another individual to speak to a specific issue. This should be a written policy that could be part of our consent request form. Our policy should be written to protect ASPCRO's interest.

Action Item: BOD to address permissions policy or convention for meeting speakers and panel discussions in broadcasting and recording future sessions. John Scott to draft a policy.

Motion to accept the Communications Committee report was made by Liza Fleeson, and was seconded by Grant Bishop. The motion carried unanimously.

Green Building Standards Committee – Steve Dwinell (FL), Chair

There was nothing to report. Most of the work this committee was scheduled to accomplish was completed in 2013. Green Building Pest Management standards were accepted into the USGB standards, but this needs to be monitored and no one is doing this. Although there is a standard, there is an entire industry interpreting that standard and we need to remain virulent in keeping up with the dialog on these websites. Steve Dwinell asked to suspend the committee unless someone is willing to look into this and monitor it. Monitoring will require someone to check into the forum that has been established for Green Building Standards.

Liza stated that during the midyear meeting Clint Shettle was volunteered to follow up on this and will have a report at business meeting tomorrow.

Finally, the Board should consider renewing our membership to USGB council Association since it provides us a vote in future decisions being made through this organization.

Motion to accept the Green Building Committee was made by Liza Fleeson, a second was made by Derrick Lastinger. The motion carried unanimously.

Hall of Fame Committee – Submitted by George Saxton (IN) in absentia; there are no nominations for the Board to consider at this time.

Inspector Training Committee (ITC) – George Saxton (IN), Chair

John Scott reported for George Saxton. There was a very successful PIRT course held in WA early in the year. Planning for the PIRT was a cooperative effort between the Washington State University and ASPCRO's ITC. It was the first time the ITC helped support a PIRT at a university (previous ITC PIRT was hosted at the Orkin Training Center in Atlanta). Part of the problem working with universities is that a good portion of the funds are taken up by the university as indirect costs (IDC). WSU took 40+% off the top of the funding for the execution of the PIRT grant which resulted in some limitations related to training sessions. Something we should consider if EPA is to fund these training events at a university.

Webinars/courses for state inspectors in other states is another topic the committee has been working on. Specifically, Georgia has started a webinar series for PCOs and others interested in training specific to pest control. The Board considered posting training information on ASPCRO's website, but no action on this has been taken to date. In addition, the Board had considered posting announcements to training opportunities for states that have training schools. Derrick will send out a survey to see who has them so that these training programs will be accessible to interested individuals.

Label Stewardship Committee – Bonnie Rabe (NM), Chair

Bonnie submitted a report which indicates a significant level of committee activity. The Committee was tasked with developing a guidance doc that addressed outdoor neonicotinoid foliar applications specifically for the protections of pollinators. This coincided with efforts by AAPCO-SFIREG doc has had input from several sources and has consistent language. It is believed to be a useful guidance document. EPA has made suggestions to our recommendations and we have received concurrence from

EPA and will go forth with document. It should be noted that the guidance in these documents is for states, not applicators.

EPA/ASPCRO training in June led to an issue related to language changes and applicator consistency from product to product. This appears to be a problem resulting from generic products and the copyright laws on company labels. In an effort to avoid these copyright laws, use explanations have been “tweaked” and this is resulting in confusion and inconsistency related to use patterns. EPA is in support of developing a template for language to aid in consistency.

There was a recommendation to develop a paragraph which outlined the document’s purpose that would better clarify the document’s intended purpose.

Motion to move forward with posting the pollinator guidance document was made by Liza Fleeson and was seconded by John Campbell. The motion carried unanimously.

Nominations Committee - George Saxton (IN), Chair, in absentia

John Scott stated that there were 3 nominees for members at large that were received through the use of a survey monkey poll. Those candidates were announced during business meeting.

Pest Management in Schools – Mike Page (FL), Chair

The Committee has been more involved since being on the BPPD subcommittee on SIPM. We received a request from BPPD for a deliverable, a model contract for IPM services by a PCO. This was the main point of discussion during the PMSC Committee meeting Monday afternoon. In preparation for the meetings discussions, model SIPM contracts from 8 states were reviewed, compared and contrasted with the Florida Model Contract. The purpose of the 8 state reviews was to identify useful components of these model contracts. The Florida model is being used as the starting point and the other contracts were reviewed to ascertain if there were components of those contracts that could strengthen the Florida model, thereby making it a more comprehensive model for EPA’s use in their SIPM Tool Kit.

The meeting tomorrow will also establish future goals and to replace Josh Wiley as co-chair since his departure from state government.

Also, the Committee drafted a letter in May in support of Pesticide Safety Education Program’s (PESP) push to include safety education in school programs. The letter can be made available upon request.

Rodenticide Committee – Linda Johns (MT), Chair

The Committee reviewed requests or concern related to label language for rodenticides. Currently, labels are for very specific use; only for rodents included as commensal rodents. However there are concerns over what products can be used to control other rodents. Requests since 2008 have focused on being able to utilize rodenticide label for other rodents and in other (field) settings. A possible solution would be to eliminate language that state “use only” terminology. One stumbling block to this is that actual field data would be needed to justify this product use pattern. If EPA would accept laboratory data in lieu of actual field data this would be very helpful in moving this issue along. There is also a need for understand/use these products when rodents that are not considered “commensal” infest structures. Wild rodents will and do infest structures. There are also potential public health issues related to not controlling noncommensal rodents say in a public housing situation. EPA asked if ASPCRO could take leadership on these issues. There have been discussions with NPMA on developing a letter related to the issue and submit a letter for consideration for label revisions or their willingness to accept laboratory data in lieu of field data.

(JS – drafting language after outreach to industry/registrants – label language change for noncommensal uses – committee would like BOD concurrence on moving forward)

Motion to develop letter in support of rodenticide label language changes was made by John Scott and seconded by Derrick Lastinger. The motion carried unanimously.

State Meeting Assistance Committee (SMAC) – Jay Kelly (IN), Chair

JK - ASPCRO will sponsor 4 meetings this year in Indiana. In November likely will have 150 – 250 members will be in attendance. A report will follow.

Structural Remediation Committee (SRC - Derrick Lastinger (GA), Chair

A report was submitted to the Board. This committee formed out of a 2013 SFIREG meeting. The Committee was tasked with how to respond to an incident that involved a pesticide. Questions that are asked in these situations include is there a need to clean up the site; who should do the cleanup of spills; what constitutes an effective cleanup; when should the SLA notify other agencies; what is the role after the investigation if it indicates a misuse. In January a survey to states was sent that related to incident responses. Amy Mysc, from EPA has proven to be a good resource. Amy also requested ASPCRO review a surface wipe method standardization process. The proposal was reviewed and we indicated we are in support of the research and offered the committee as a resource to her and the Agency.

In February, the Committee met at midyear (1st meeting) and sent out a request to update a 2012 EQI committee surface analysis methods survey. That survey increased the number of states responding. As a result, we have a better understanding of SLA swab methods and how they view/use this data. The final survey will be posted on our website.

In April the Committee held a teleconference with Cary Giguere, VT did a presentation related to their chlorpyrophos incident. The incident offered an opportunity to develop a roadmap for responding to these incidents. Cary agreed to assist us with developing remediation guidance and to provide information related to a list of incidents that EPA has responded to that will be instructive in developing a response guidance document.

In June, we were contacted by Jeanne Kasai (EPA, OPP, project officer for NPIC) inquired about our activities and put us in contact with Dr. David Stone, Director of NPIC (OSU). NPIC is now developing guidance document for the general public and we've agreed to stay in touch and comment on what is drafted. The committee met during breakout session and will be soliciting feedback on states needs!

Termiticide Label Review Committee (TLRC) - Davis Daiker (FL), Chair

The Committee met with one registrant and between January and July, submitted 3 different sets of comments on revisions for 2 Section 3 labels. The Committee also developed comments on 8 separate supplemental labels to the Agency to facilitate label use changes into the marketplace. The Committee remains a resource for EPA and continues to encouraging them to seek our input. Also, Terry Wagner retired from the US Forest Service. His position was considered a standing member of this committee. Dr. Shelton has been named as Terry Wagner's replacement to this committee.

Termiticide Standards Committee (TSC) – Mike Weyman (MS), Chair

The Committee has been fielding many phone calls related to a rumor about ASPCRO doing away with pretreatment standards. This is NOT going to happen! The ASPCRO proposal was to allow pretreat label language to relax the requirement for soil treatment under the slab. This would not remove any of the other language. It was an alternative treatment requiring critical area treatment (plumbing penetrations), but would eliminating under slab areas (AKA the horizontal barrier). There is a need to get researchers involved with the type of data needed to indicate if this option will still be efficacious and to see if EPA would be comfortable with this. NPMA and TSC developed a "Pretreat Task Force" to discuss this issue. The committee meeting tomorrow will focus on this proposal and what are logical next steps.

Break to 3:15P

Motion was made to accept all committee reports was made by Liza Fleeson, and seconded by Jay Kelley. The motion carried unanimously.

Historian Report – Bonnie Rabe (NM)

Bonnie reported that she was sifting through the box of information provided by Steve Dwinell. “THE BOX” is hoped to be going electronic we are able to scan and place documents as searchable .pdf files on our website. There is a desire to create a searchable document library on our website to facilitate document searches.

Liaison Reports

AAPCO/POM – Bonnie Rabe (NM) & Tim Drake (SC)

Tim Drake reported that comments related to WPS proposed changes have been submitted by the August 15 deadline.

Jeff Comstock submitted a letter requesting an extension on commenting regarding waters of US rule. Grier Staten AAPCO Executive Secretary will be retiring soon. A position description has been rewritten and reviewed and the position will be advertised next week for 30 days. The intention is to have the new by December and have that person overlap with Grier to minimize learning curve.

AAPCO has concerns that funding for PREP and PIRT are in jeopardy. We have emphasized the value states place on these training events. Senior Exec PREP was discussed – OPP and Lisa Lund heard support for continuing these invaluable courses. States should address support letters to Dan Helfgott. SFIREG working committees restricting of EQI and POM Committee meetings have been combined. The next meeting will be in Arlington, 14-15 of September.

Jim Grey was asked about upcoming issues and he stated that pollinator issues were dominating discussions.

Regulator in residence program has been extremely helpful.

Also working on expanding relationships with other associations, and again pollinator issues rule the day Bonnie Rabe was voted in as AAPCO board member –

Other pollinator issues; EPA requested partnership to create federal strategy for pollinator protection plan. AAPCO 2015 spring meeting will be held in Alexandria Old town in March; topics now being finalized

Development of State Pollinator Protection Plans: as AAPCO, ASPCRO and SFIREG begin to development state PPPs, there is a large concern that there is a broad stakeholder engagement. It would be problematic if this kind of document was developed in a vacuum.

The reason there are two committees working on this is because AAPCO is the group reaching out to other stakeholders. This has been going this for about 2 yrs. A breakfast meeting tomorrow at 7:30a has been scheduled for this discussion.

CTAG – Jack Peterson (AZ)

Please go to our website www.CTAG.org where you will find a list of our current activities on website. Please let Jack know if there are issues want to address.

Discussion:

There has been discussion at SFIREG related to the creation of a glyphosate category (for minimum use). This issue was started with fracking and now has developed into a number of specific use categories beginning with core training. There is a tendency to split small responsibilities into categories and create specificity.

TPSA – Liza Fleeson (VA)

Pesticide steward alliance is a professional organization made up of government agencies, private companies, educators, regulators like ASPCRO and is evolving to take on more issues. Their focus is on the life cycle of pesticides and stewardship issues (container containment issues). Their Board has representation of a number of states and also new membership groups and international groups. Their website has past conferences and information on pesticide issues. We don't deal with a lot if pesticide stewardship issues but if there are specific structural specific stewardship issues it would be a great opportunity to collaborate with this group.

New Business

2014 ASPCRO NPMA-EPA Termite & Pollinator Field Trip & Workshop Overview – John Campbell (MS), Liza Fleeson (VA)

On June 11- 12 – pollinator/termite training was held in conjunction with NPMA. The first day was basic termite training “101”, which enhanced basic concepts of termite protection, demonstrated the use of equipment and emphasized how to make label applications of termiticides. WDO inspections were also demonstrated. On day 2 pollinator protection issues were discussed. The goal was to help EPA understand what actually happened in the world outside of Agriculture. There was an overview of pollinators, discussions of managed vs. wild bees. John Simpkins from Insect IQ in Florida discussed bee removal issues and neonicotinoid challenges. These meetings continue to be beneficial to registering these useful pesticides.

California Sulfuryl Fluoride study: John Scott (CO), Steve Dwinell (FL), Bob Rosenberg (NPMA)

A new committee specific to the issue of Sulfuryl Fluoride Reregistration was discussed. The need for a committee stemmed from discussions that took place during the SFIREG meeting. CDPH has state laws that require them to periodically look at use of SF for residential fumigation and the possible need to add mitigation measures to their fumigation procedures (bystander protections). Dwinell requested a meeting to discuss what CDPH’s status of this legislative requirement. The meeting in Sacramento was well attended: NPMA DOW and other states were represented. Learned that CA is constrained by law that concerns toxic air contaminants that is outside of what EPA is doing with their risk assessment. There are statutory deadlines in CA’s law that does not align with federal requirements to reevaluate these products. This has led to a race to implement risk mitigation to comply with CA toxic contaminant law *before* there is any data which demonstrates that there is an unacceptable risk. Essentially, their law is designed to force them to take action before a demonstrated risk is present. DPR communicated their intent to request to delay the statutory deadline. That in fact, they were willing to go to the legislature and inform them that they were not prepared to meet the statutory deadline because of the data gap demonstrating whether there is an actual problem needing mitigation. DOW is now developing data to satisfy the knowledge gap for policy makers. DPR also notified the industry of their intent to request delay in taking action. ASPCRO has offered to write a letter in support of additional time to develop data on any potential risk.

ASPCRO felt the need to initiate a committee to follow this issue. Steve Dwinell volunteered to chair a committee that essentially monitors the status of CA legislative processes. ASPCRO needs to establish a committee for this purpose because these issues may include all structural fumigants. The thought is that we need to establish SF issues because has impacts on structural reregistration. ASPCRO should make itself available for comments, review recommendations and deal with a specialized subset of label recommendations. This structural fumigation committee should be comprised of states with structure fumigation expertise. We will announce this at the business meeting requesting volunteers to sit on committee as issue evolves.

During the termiticide training meeting EPA realized that they need additional training on structural fumigation. EPA requested having an ASPCRO/EPA structural fumigation workshop, possibly in the spring of 2015. Two possible sites have been suggested: Savannah, GA Port of Savannah and Ft. Lauderdale, FL. A port official at the Savannah site was discussed and they are willing to host a training event. The other site is in Florida, at the Fumigation School in Ft. Lauderdale. The training will include all aspects of fumigation processes; food fumigation, commodities fumigation and residential structural fumigations.

Steve Dwinell agreed to be temporary “acting” chair for the committee.

Pollinator labeling- neonic labeling guidance: John Scott (CO), Steve Dwinell (FL)

ASPCRO/SLA involvement in pollinator labeling expansion was previously discussed.

Background: AAPCO and SFIREG got a letter from EPA indicating they want state plans for pollinators (for managed pollinators) to be adopted. The Agency is looking at a way to manage risks to pollinators. EPA adopted language on neonics to do that and know they will need to expand it but that this will

present a huge challenge to find language suitable for all interested parties. There are a number of states adopting management plans that are working and this is a trend that appears to be gaining momentum. Part of this issue is how to define what a state management plan (what are the elements of a plan) is and then getting other agencies and stakeholders like NASDA, Farm Bureau's, CropLife America, to buy in on this as a means of mitigating pollinator protections. Thus far, all of these stakeholder groups have jumped on the State Management Plan bandwagon. Details have yet to be worked out. However, what is encouraging is that EPA has asked for assistance from AAPCO and SFIREG in developing this issue further.

When neonic language came out quickly and there was not much time to react to it. We also heard EPA was intending to push this out to include other products toxic to bees and that EPA was going to do this in a PR notice, allowing for a comment period. However, when the Presidents Memorandum was issued, EPA found that PR notice would take too long to meet his directive. This prompted the need to work with AAPCO and SFIREG to develop a plan within the 180 days the law mandates. As a result, State management plans will be a big part of the plan EPA needs to develop. Problem is, how do you create one-size-fits-all language for product labels? To date there has been no agreement on such language. Terms like "actively visiting" were difficult to define adequately enough to satisfy all stakeholders. State management plans provides a framework with which applicators can work within – gives states authority and flexibility on pollinator issues/applications. Conceptually the plans will include topics such as education, communication & cooperation that will be included in a state management plan thereby providing applicators definitive means/process for applicators to protect pollinators. For this to be workable, EPA will require registrants to have label statements that direct applicators to "follow the state pollinator management plan". Labels would provide directions that would say use this product in the following way "apply as follows using A, B & C". The alternative to making these management plans work would be for EPA to state "don't apply it" when plants are in bloom. With State management plans, there is an option to apply under state sanctioned situations. Therefore, state management plans become a risk reduction method; instead of "don't apply", now we have the ability to apply under a managed pollinator protection plan that is developed by some public process including beekeepers and other stakeholders. Enforceability of these plans (voluntary vs. requirement) is also a looming issue. Lastly, there appears to be a lack of transparency and stakeholder involvement. Groups like AAPCO, SFIREG and ASCPRO have an opportunity to insert themselves into the Agency's processes.

EPA/TLRC/TSC Termiticide Label harmonization meeting: Bonnie Rabe (NM), Davis Daiker (FL)
Update: ASCPRO will meet with EPA to educate them and help increase their awareness of ASCPRO's role in the registration process related to its termiticide committees. There is a need to make EPA aware of these resources and discuss how ASCPRO can provide expertise with these processes. We are also looking to reestablish our working relationship with the Agency on other issues such as global harmonization of labels and other pertinent issues.

Collaboration with the Entomological Society of America - ASCPRO support for CEUs: John Scott (CO), Liza Fleeson (VA)

Representatives from the Entomological Society of America (ESA) contacted Liza and John about support for their CEU program. Specifically, would ASCPRO support ESAs CEU program by approving their continued education credits? ESA is very active with two different board certifications: Board Certified Entomologist (BCE) and Applied Certified Entomologist (ACE). Both certification programs require CEUs. We explained that CEUs are different with each state. Each state uses different approval criteria when approving continuing education. However, ASCPRO could possibly help standardize this process and reach out to states in support of their CEU program.

ESA also asked if they could present on their program during our 2015 meeting. This is an issue for the Board and the Planning committee to discuss as new business. It may also be possible to include them as an exhibitor *if* we decide to have our stewardship exhibition during the 2015 meeting. It may also be helpful to have them at midyear meeting to explain their issue under new business.

PSEP Letter of Support: Mike Page (FL)

Representatives of the PSEP contacted ASPCRO requesting support for an effort to include PSE in school IPM programs. We agreed to do so but no further communication has occurred on this issue. The PMSC will follow up on this issue and report to the Board at our next meeting.

EPA RD Regulator in Residence Program: Liza Fleeson (VA):

This program has been ongoing for several years. Jim Gray was the first to play a role in it. Liza Fleeson, Charlie Clark and Dave Scott currently are part of the program. This program is designed to provide state perspective/input on regulation issues the Agency is currently working on and includes a broad spectrum of issues. We are invited to participate in their meetings as decisions are being made. Lois Rossi in favor of maintaining this program and are discussing expanding the program. This program has been a great benefit to states in learning how EPA works internally and is hoped to continue after Lois Rossi retires.

Committee Memberships and Chair Changes: John Scott (CO):

Mike Weyman *graciously* accepted the chair position from Steve Dwinell for the TSC. Mike has commented how much he *appreciates* the opportunity to be a part of this very active committee.

Labeling Committee:

Bonnie Rabe has expressed interest in stepping down but will stay on until a replacement can be brought on the committee. This is an important and key committee for ASPCRO and will provide someone with an excellent opportunity to learn and stay abreast of state labeling issues facing the association.

Resolutions:

- Recognition of Montana Department of Agriculture for their hospitality
- Recognition of Sponsors
- Recognition of Lonnie Matthews for his service to ASPCRO as our Executive Secretary

Suggestion: pass a resolution thanking Lois Rossi on her contributions and congratulating her on her retirement

Motion was made to add a resolution to recognize Lois Rossi was made by Liza Fleeson and seconded by John Scott. The motion carried unanimously.

Annual Meetings Update: Liza Fleeson (VA)

- 2015 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida
- 2016 Santa Fe, New México
- 2017 Michigan
- 2018 under consideration is Vermont?

2015 Mid-Year Meeting will be in Michigan. We will be working with MDA to make arrangements for the midyear meeting. No proposed dates have been established but sometime in March or early April.

Professional Association Report / Updates

NPMA Update:

Bob Rosenberg – there have been lots of things have happened at NPMA this past year. However only one is significant: NPMA has been reorganized creating two arms of NPMA (internal and external). We've also added additional staff (7 positions). The two branches (managed by chiefs) include the internal branch which handles membership and marketing and one handling external affairs that include regulatory issues, legislative issues, and state association management and certification programs. The external branch will be managed by Andy Architect. Andy worked with NPMA for 10 years in their marketing section handling Quality Pro Green Shield Certification and eventually moving on to government affairs issues.

RISE Update:

Julie Spagnoli – staff update since midyear meeting – Stephanie Binns has joined as our policy coordinator. She will be participating in SFIREG, ASPCRO and other meetings. RISE annual meeting is focusing on priority issues for 2015 such as, pollinators, Clean Water Act issues, and a growing concern by states attempting to undo state preemption for pesticide regulation at local municipal level. We've submitted comments to worker protection standard rules and worked closely with CropLife America on their detailed comments which questioned EPA's assumptions on WPS rule changes. We also filed a petition with OSHA on extend their compliance date their SDS GHS labeling for 2 years. RISE appreciates the opportunity to work with ASPCRO to help applicators be in compliance.

Open To Floor

Vince Craig thanked Liza for her help on termite inspection forms.

Adjourn – 5:10 p